New Casbane Diterpenoids from the Hainan Soft Coral Sinularia Species

by Bin Yang^a), Jingxia Huang^b), Xiuping Lin^a), Shengrong Liao^a), Xuefeng Zhou^a), Juan Liu^a). Junfeng Wang^a), Lishu Wang^c), and Yonghong Liu^{*a})

^a) CAS Key Laboratory of Tropical Marine Bio-resources and Ecology/Guangdong Key Laboratory of Marine Materia Medica/Research Center for Marine Microbes, South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510301, P. R. China

(phone/fax: $+86-20-89023244$; e-mail: yonghongliu@scsio.ac.cn)

^b) Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510060, P. R. China

^c) Jilin Provincial Academy of Chinese Medicine Sciences, Changchun 130021, P. R. China

Six new casbane diterpenoids, sinularcasbanes $G-L(1-6, resp.)$ were isolated from the soft coral Sinularia sp. Their structures were established by extensive spectroscopic analyses, especially 2D-NMR and HR-ESI-MS. The configuration was confirmed by CD analyses and by comparison with data reported in the literature. The compounds were evaluated for cytotoxicity against ten human cancer cell lines (H1975, U937, K562, BGC823, MOLT-4, MCF-7, A549, HeLa, HL60, and Huh-7) and showed no activity.

Introduction. – Sinularia contain a fairly large variety of secondary metabolites, including sesquiterpenoids, diterpenoids, polyhydroxylated steroids, and polyamine compounds, of which diterpenoids that exhibit a range of biological activities are the most commonly encountered ones $[1-7]$. The casbanes are diterpenes derived from the cembranes and differ from the later by the presence of a gem-dimethylcyclopropyl moiety instead of an ⁱPr residue fused to the 14-membered ring $[8-13]$. They are considered as the formal parent of several groups of many other diterpenoids, such as jatropholones [14], crotofolanes [15], and tiglianes [16]. In the majority of casbanes described in the literature, the two rings forming the macrocyclic structures are cisfused, whereas very few molecules exhibit a corresponding trans junction [11] [17 – 19]. During our investigations of biologically active constituents from a Sinularia species collected around the Sanya coast, Hainan Province, P. R. China, we have reported 13 cembrane diterpenoids isolated from this coral [2]. Further studies were carried out, which resulted in the isolation of six new casbane diterpenes, named sinularcasbanes $G-L$ (1-6; Fig. 1). All isolated compounds contain a *cis*-fused bicyclic system. In this article, the isolation, the structure elucidation, and cytotoxicity evaluation of these compounds are described.

Results and Discussion. – Isolation and Structure Determination. The fresh soft corals of Sinularia sp. were cut and exhaustively extracted with EtOH. The crude EtOH extracts were partitioned between CHCl₃ and H_2O . The CHCl₃ layer was further partitioned between 85% EtOH and petroleum ether (PE) to yield 85% EtOH and PE fractions. The PE extracts were purified by column chromatography over silica gel,

Õ 2015 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zîrich

Fig. 1. Structures of compounds 1 – 6

Sephadex LH-20, and by RP-HPLC to yield the six new casbane diterpenoids, sinular
casbanes $G-L(1-6)$.

Compound 1 was isolated as colorless oil. The molecular formula $C_{21}H_{30}O_2$ was deduced by the HR-ESI-MS *pseudo-molecular-ion peak at m/z* 315.2302 ($[M + H]^+$) and implied seven degrees of unsaturation. The 1 H-NMR spectrum of 1 contained Me singlets at $\delta(H)$ 0.87, 1.17, 1.50, 1.71, and 1.90, which were assigned to two tertiary and three vinyl Me groups (*Table 1*). Three trisubstituted C=C bonds, and one 1,1disubstituted C $=$ C bond were evident by eight C $=$ C signals in the ¹³C-NMR spectrum $(Table 2)$ at $\delta(C)$ 119.0 $(C(21))$, 126.8 $(C(7))$, 128.7 $(C(11))$, 135.9 $(C(4))$, 136.2 $(C(8))$, 140.9 (C(12)), 145.9 (C(3)), and 148.1 (C(6)) and by four olefinic signals in the ¹H-NMR spectrum at δ (H) 5.07 (d, J=9.5, H–C(11)), 5.16 (s, CH₂(21)), 5.92 (s, H–C(7)), and 6.29 (d, $J = 10.5$, H–C(3)). The NMR spectra also showed a signal for an C=O group (δ (C) 200.7 (C(5))), and a CH group (δ (H) 4.48 – 4.53 (*m*, H–C(10), δ (C) 66.4 (C(10))). The signals at $\delta(C)$ 28.9 (C(2)), 34.8 (C(1)), 26.2 (C(15)), 15.8 (C(17)), and 29.2 (C(16)) in the ¹³C-NMR spectrum (*Table 2*) together with the signals at $\delta(H)$ $1.02 - 1.14$ and $0.99 - 1.02$ in the ¹H-NMR spectrum indicated the presence of a cyclopropyl ring bearing geminal Me groups, which were typical signals for the casbanetype diterpenoid containing a 14-membered macrocyclic ring. Key HMBCs Me(18)/ $C(3,4,5); \text{ CH}_2(21)/C(5,6,7); \text{ Me}(19)/C(7,8,9); \text{ H}-C(9)/C(10); \text{ Me}(20)/C(11,12,13)$ permitted the connection of the C-atom skeleton (Fig. 2).

The (E) geometries of the three C=C bonds C(3)=C(4), C(7)=C(8), and C(11)=C(12) were deduced by the δ (C) values of Me(18), Me(19), and Me(20) $(<$ 20 ppm; Table 2) [11]. The junction of the two rings at C(1) and C(2) was suggested to be *cis* on the basis of ¹³C-NMR chemical shifts of the geminal Me(16) and Me(17) $(\delta(C)$ 29.2 and 15.8, resp.), consistent with the literature concerning *cis*-fused casbane

... ۶
م $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ \mathbf{g} IЦ j

836 HELVETICA CHIMICA ACTA – Vol. 98 (2015)

Fig. 2. Key HMBCs (H \rightarrow C) of compounds 1, 2, 5, and 6

diterpenes [11]. On biogenetic considerations, the relative configuration of $C(10)$ in 1 was assumed as in [12].

Compound 2 was isolated as colorless oil. The molecular formula $C_{20}H_{32}O_2$ was deduced by the HR-ESI-MS *pseudo-molecular-ion peak at m/z* 327.2262 ($[M + Na]$ ⁺) and implied five degrees of unsaturation. The 1 H- and 13 C-NMR spectra of 2 were similar to those of casbene $[8]$, expect for the presence of two additional $HO-CH$ groups at $\delta(H)$ 3.77 (d, J = 9.5, H–C(9)) and 4.34 (t, J = 9.5, H–C(10)) (Table 1). This assumption was confirmed by both the ${}^{1}H,{}^{1}H$ -COSY correlation of $H-C(9)/H-C(10)/$ H–C(11) and the HMBCs of H–C(9)/C(8,19,10) and H–C(10)/C(9,11,12) (*Fig. 2*). The (E) geometries of the three C=C bonds C(3)=C(4), C(7)=C(8), and C(11)=C(12) were deduced by NMR data. The junction of the two rings at $C(1)$ and $C(2)$ was suggested to be *cis* on the basis of ¹³C-NMR chemical shifts of the geminal Me(16) and Me(17) (Table 2). According to the coupling constant, H–C(9) and H–C(10) were then deduced to have a *trans* relative configuration [20].

Compound 3 was isolated as colorless oil. The molecular formula $C_{20}H_{30}O_3$ was deduced by the HR-ESI-MS *pseudo*-molecular-ion peak at m/z 341.2086 ($[M + Na]$ ⁺) and implied six degrees of unsaturation. The 1 H- and 13 C-NMR spectral data of 3 showed close similarity to those of microclavatin [21], except for the disappearance of the 8,9-epoxy ring of the known analog. The geometries of the $C(3)=C(4)$ and $C(6) = C(7)$ bonds were suggested to be (E) by the coupling constant values. The configuration of the *cis* ring junction was assumed to be $(1S,2S)$, in analogy to that of 1. By biogenetic considerations, the same relative configuration at $C(12)$ in 3 was assumed as described in [11].

Compound 4 was isolated as colorless oil. The molecular formula $C_{20}H_{30}O_3$ was deduced by the HR-ESI-MS *pseudo*-molecular-ion peak at m/z 341.2078 ($[M + Na]$ ⁺) and implied six degrees of unsaturation. Comparison of 4 with 3 revealed that they had not only the same molecular formula but also very similar spectral data. The ¹H- and $13C-NMR$ spectroscopic data of 4 were very similar to those of 3 except for the up-field shifts of $C(8)$, $C(9)$, $C(11)$, $C(13)$, $C(19)$, and $C(20)$ (*Table 2*). Due to the similarity of the CD curves of 4 with 3, the absolute configuration of 4 was defined as $(1S,2S)$. Based on the observed up-field shifts the relative configurations of $C(8)$ and $C(12)$ in 4 were determined to be inversed compared to 3.

Compound 5 was isolated as colorless oil. The molecular formula $C_{20}H_{30}O_3$ was deduced by the HR-ESI-MS *pseudo*-molecular-ion peak at m/z 341.2109 ($[M + Na]$ ⁺) and implied six degrees of unsaturation. The spectroscopic data of 5 revealed structural similarity to 3. The presence of an additional $C=C$ bond suggested by both the ${}^{1}H\text{-}NMR$ signals at $\delta(H)$ 5.50 – 5.56 (*m*, H–C(10)) and 5.66 (*d*, J = 16.0, H–C(11)) and the corresponding ¹³C-NMR signals at δ (C) 122.5 and 141.2. The assignments were supported by the HMBCs H–C(10)/C(9,11,12) and H–C(12)/C(9,10,12,20) (Fig. 2). The ¹³C-NMR spectrum of 5 also contained a signal at δ (C) 72.7 (C(12)) attributable to an O-bearing C-atom, thus indicating the presence of a second OH group located at C(12). The geometries of C(3)=C(4), C(6)=C(7), and C(10)=C(11) were suggested to be (E) based on the NMR data, whereas the configurations at $C(8)$ and $C(12)$ were not determined.

Compound 6 was isolated as colorless oil. The molecular formula $C_{20}H_{32}O_3$ was deduced by the HR-ESI-MS *pseudo*-molecular-ion peak at m/z 343.2241 ($[M + Na]$ ⁺) and implied five degrees of unsaturation. The 1H - and ^{13}C -NMR data of 6, fully assigned through 2D-NMR experiments, closely resembled those of 5. One difference was the absence of signals for a trisubstituted $C=C$ bond. This conclusion was confirmed by the HMBCs Me(18)/C(3,4,5) (Fig. 2). Another difference was found in the ¹³C-NMR values of C(16) (δ (C) 21.6) and C(17) (δ (C) 20.2) that were significantly shifted in comparison with those of 5 (C(16) (δ (C) 29.1) and C(17) (δ (C) 16.0)), suggesting that 6 had a different ring junction at $C(1)$ and $C(2)$ (Table 2). The CD curve of 6 was identical with that of 2-epi-10-oxo-11,12-dihydrodepressin [11], suggesting the same (1S,2R) absolute configuration at the junction asymmetric centers.

Cytotoxic Activity. Compounds $1-6$ were tested for their cytotoxicity against ten human tumor cell lines (H1975, U937, K562, BGC823, MOLT-4, MCF-7, A549, HeLa, HL60, and Huh-7) by the MTT $(= 3-(4.5\text{-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2.5\text{-diphenyl-2H-1}})$ tetrazolium bromide) method [22]. However, they showed no cytotoxic activity against all cell lines at 50 μ M.

Experimental Part

General. TLC: Silica gel GF₂₅₄ (0.4-0.5 mm; Qingdao Marine Chemical Factory, Qingdao, P.R. China). Column chromatography (CC): silica gel (SiO₂, 100-200, 200-300 mesh; *Qingdao Marine* Chemical Factory, Qingdao, P. R. China), Sephadex LH-20 (40 – 70 mm, Amersham Pharmacia Biotech AB, Uppsala, Sweden), and YMC Gel ODS-A (12 nm, S-50 µm; YMC, MA, USA). HPLC: Shimadzu LC-10ATvp with YMC ODS series (YMC-Pack ODS-A, 250 \times 10 mm i.d., S-5 µm, 12 nm). CD Spectra: Chirascan circular dichroism spectrometer (Applied Photophysics). NMR Spectra: Bruker AC 500 NMR spectrometer; δ in ppm rel. to Me₄Si as internal standard, J in Hz. HR-ESI-MS: AQUITY UPLC/Q-TOF mass spectrometer; in m/z .

Animal Material. The soft coral Sinularia sp. was collected from Dongluo Island, Hainan Province of China in March 2010 (7 – 10 m depth) and identified by Prof. Hui Huang, South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. A voucher specimen (No. M100301) was deposited with the CAS Key Laboratory of Tropical Marine Bio-resources and Ecology, South China Sea Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, P. R. China.

Extraction and Isolation. The soft coral Sinularia sp. (7 kg) was extracted three times with 95% EtOH. The extract was concentrated under reduced pressure, and partitioned between $H₂O$ (41) and CHCl₃ (41); the CHCl₃ layer (101 g) was further partitioned between 85% EtOH (41) and petroleum ether (PE; 41) to yield 85% EtOH (30 g) and PE (55.3 g) fractions. The PE extract was subjected to $SiO₂$ CC, using a gradient of AcOEt in PE, to give 13 fractions (Frs. $X_1 - X_{13}$). Fr. X_8 (2.7 g) was subjected to SiO_2 CC, using a gradient of AcOEt in PE, to give seven fractions (*Frs. X₈₋₁ – X₈₋₇)*. *Fr. X₈₋₄* (430 mg) was further purified on a Sephadex LH-20 column to give three subfractions (Frs. $X_{8+4-1} - X_{8+4-6}$). Fr. X_{8+4-2} was purified by RP HPLC (66.5% MeOH in H₂O) to afford 1 (7.5 mg). Fr. $X_{8.4.3}$ was purified by RP HPLC (67% MeOH in H₂O) to afford 3 (7.5 mg) and 4 (6.6 mg). Fr. X_{8-7} was purified by RP-HPLC (73% MeOH in H₂O) to afford 2 (2.5 mg). Fr. X_{11} (1.5 g) was subjected to SiO₂ CC, using a gradient of AcOEt in PE, to give six fractions (*Frs.* $X_{11\text{-}1} - X_{11\text{-}6}$ *). Fr.* $X_{11\text{-}4}$ (532 mg) was further purified on a *Sephadex LH-20* column to give four subfractions (Frs. $X_{11\text{-}4-1} - X_{11\text{-}4-4}$). Fr. $X_{11\text{-}4-2}$ was purified by RP-HPLC (65% MeOH in $H₂O$) to afford 5 (3.5 mg) and 6 (3.1 mg).

Sinularcasbane G (=(1R,2E,6E,9S,10E,14S)-9-Hydroxy-3,7,11,15,15-pentamethyl-5-methylidenebicyclo[12.1.0]pentadeca-2,6,10-trien-4-one; 1). Colorless oil. CD (c = 0.2, EtOH): $\Delta \varepsilon_{203}$ + 8.7, $\Delta \varepsilon_{231}$ $-2.0.$ ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: Tables 1 and 2, resp. HR-ESI-MS: 315.2302 ([M+H]⁺, C₂₁H₃₁O₂⁺; calc 315.2319).

Sinularcasbane $H = (1S, 4E, 6R, 7R, 8E, 12E, 14R) - 4,8,12,15,15$ -Pentamethylbicyclo[12.1.0]pentadeca-4,8,12-triene-6,7-diol; 2). Colorless oil. CD ($c = 0.2$, EtOH): $\Delta \varepsilon_{212} - 48$. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: Tables 1 and 2, resp. HR-ESI-MS: 327.2262 ($[M + Na]^+$, $C_{20}H_{32}NaO_2^+$; calc. 327.2300).

Sinularcasbane I $(=(1R,2E,5E,7S,11S,14S)-7-Hydroxy-3,7,11,15,15-pentamethylbicyclo[12.1.0]pen$ tadeca-2,5-diene-4,9-dione; 3). Colorless oil. CD (c = 0.2, EtOH): $\Delta \varepsilon_{210} + 8$, $\Delta \varepsilon_{229} - 6.9$, $\Delta \varepsilon_{276} + 10.5$. ¹Hand ¹³C-NMR: *Tables 1* and 2, resp. HR-ESI-MS: 341.2086 ($[M + Na]^+$, $C_{20}H_{30}NaO_3^+$; calc. 341.2093).

Sinularcasbane J (= (1R,2E,5E,7R,11R,14S)-7-Hydroxy-3,7,11,15,15-pentamethylbicyclo[12.1.0]pentadeca-2,5-diene-4,9-dione; 4). Colorless oil. CD (c = 0.2, EtOH): $\Delta \epsilon_{210}$ + 6, $\Delta \epsilon_{230}$ – 1.6, $\Delta \epsilon_{279}$ + 5. ¹Hand ¹³C-NMR: *Tables 1* and 2, resp. HR-ESI-MS: 341.2078 ($[M + Na]^+$, $C_{20}H_{30}NaO_3^+$; calc. 341.2093).

Sinularcasbane K $=$ $(H, 2E, 5E, 9E, 14S)$ -7,11-Dihydroxy-3,7,11,15,15-pentamethylbicyclo[12.1.0]pentadeca-2,5,9-trien-4-one; 5). Colorless oil. CD (c = 0.2, EtOH): $\Delta \epsilon_{274}$ – 10, $\Delta \epsilon_{228}$ – 12, $\Delta \epsilon_{210}$ + 10. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: *Tables 1* and 2, resp. HR-ESI-MS: 341.2109 ($[M + Na]$ ⁺, C₂₀H₃₀NaO₃⁺; calc. 341.2093).

Sinularcasbane L $(=$ $(1S, 5E, 9E, 14S)$ -7,11-Dihydroxy-3,7,11,15,15-pentamethylbicyclo[12.1.0]pentadeca-5,9-dien-4-one; 6). Colorless oil. CD (c = 0.2, EtOH): $\Delta \varepsilon_{203}$ + 10.5, $\Delta \varepsilon_{236}$ + 8.5. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR: *Tables 1* and 2, resp. HR-ESI-MS: 343.2241 $([M + Na]^+, C_{20}H_{32}NaO_3^+$; calc. 343.2249).

Cytotoxicity. Determination of cytotoxicity was done according to the procedure described in the literature [22].

This study was supported by grants from the National Key Basic Research Program of China (973 Project; 2011CB915503), the National High Technology Research and Development Program (863 Program, 2012AA092104), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21302198, 31270402, 21172230, 41376162, 21202177, and 41176148), the Knowledge Innovation Program of Chinese Academy of Science (SQ201117 and SQ201019), and the Guangdong Marine Economic Development and Innovation of Regional Demonstration Project (GD2012-D01-001).

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Yang, X. Zhou, X. Lin, J. Liu, Y. Peng, X. Yang, Y. Liu, Curr. Org. Chem. 2012, 16, 1512.
- [2] B. Yang, X. Zhou, H. Huang, X. Yang, J. Liu, X. Lin, X. Li, Y. Peng, Y. Liu, Mar. Drugs 2012, 10, 2023.
- [3] B. Yang, S. Liao, X. Lin, J. Wang, J. Liu, X. Zhou, X. Yang,Y. Liu, Mar. Drugs 2013, 11, 4741.
- [4] S.-Y. Cheng, Z.-H. Wen, S.-K. Wang, M.-Y. Chiang, A. A. H. El-Gamal, C.-F. Dai, C.-Y. Duh, Chem. Biodiversity 2009, 6, 86.
- [5] D. Grote, H.-M. Dahse, K. Seifert, Chem. Biodiversity **2008**, 5, 2449.
- [6] H.-C. Huang, C.-H. Chao, Y.-H. Kuo, J.-H. Sheu, Chem. Biodiversity 2009, 6, 1232.
- [7] K.-L. Lo, A. T. Khalil, Y.-H. Kuo, Y.-C. Shen, Chem. Biodiversity 2009, 6, 2227.
- [8] D. R. Robinson, C. A. West, Biochemistry 1970, 9, 70.
- [9] F. A. e. Silva Filho, J. N. da Silva Junior, R. Braz-Filho, C. A. de Simone, E. R. Silveira, M. A. S. Lima, Helv. Chim. Acta 2013, 96, 1146.
- [10] K.-L. Lo, A. T. Khalil, M.-H. Chen, Y.-C. Shen, Helv. Chim. Acta 2010, 93, 1329.
- [11] Y. Li, M. Carbone, R. M. Vitale, P. Amodeo, F. Castelluccio, G. Sicilia, E. Mollo, M. Nappo, G. Cimino, Y.-W. Guo, M. Gavagnin, J. Nat. Prod. 2010, 73, 133.
- [12] J. Yin, M. Zhao, M. Ma, Y. Xu, Z. Xiang, Y. Cai, J. Dong, X. Lei, K. Huang, P. Yan, Mar. Drugs 2013, 11, 455.
- [13] W.-W. Tao, J.-A. Duan, Y.-P. Tang, N.-Y. Yang, J.-P. Li, Y.-F. Qian, Phytochemistry 2013, 94, 249.
- [14] K. Kozhiparanbil Purushothaman, C. Sundarow, A. F. Cameron, J. D. Connolly, C. Labbé, A. Maltz, D. S. Rycroft, Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 20, 979.
- [15] S. Kawakami, H. Toyoda, L. Harinantenaina, K. Matsunami, H. Otsuka, T. Shinzato, Y. Takeda, M. Kawahata, K. Yamaguchi, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2013, 61, 411.
- [16] Y. Asada, A. Sukemori, T. Watanabe, K. J. Malla, T. Yoshikawa, W. Li, X. Kuang, K. Koike, C.-H. Chen, T. Akiyama, K. D. Qian, K. Nakagawa-Goto, S. L. Morris-Natschke, Y. Lu, K.-H. Lee, J. Nat. Prod. 2013, 76, 852.
- [17] V. L. A. Moura, F. J. O. Monte, R. Braz Filho, J. Nat. Prod. 1990, 53, 1566.
- [18] Z.-H. Xu, J. Sun, R.-S. Xu, G.-W. Qin, Phytochemistry 1998, 49, 149.
- [19] N. C. Sá, T. T. A. Cavalcante, A. X. Araújo, H. S. dos Santos, M. R. J. R. Albuquerque, P. N. Bandeira, R. M. S. da Cunha, B. S. Cavada, E. H. Teixeira, Arch. Oral Biol. 2012, 57, 550.
- [20] Y.-L. Li, X. W. Yang, S.-M. Li, J. Tang, J.-M. Tian, X.-Y. Peng, D.-S. Huang, W.-D. Zhang, Planta Med. 2009, 75, 1534.
- [21] C.-X. Zhang, S.-J. Yan, G.-W. Zhang, W.-G. Lu, J.-Y. Su, L.-M. Zeng, L.-Q. Gu, X.-P. Yang, Y.-J. Lian, J. Nat. Prod. 2005, 68, 1087.
- [22] T. Mosmann, J. Immunol. Methods 1983, 65, 55.

Received October 28, 2014